LSS: Your District Name Here School Name: Your School Name Here

Attachment C GOLD Recognition Award Application

Instructions:

- 1. Double click on HEADER ([above] LSS_ School Name: _) to insert LSS and School Name.
- 2. Double click in body of document to return to main body.
- 3. Save document using "LSS school full name GOLD" in the title, "Cecil_MartinLutherKingJrHS_GOLD"
- 4. Complete required information.
- 5. Submit through email to LSS Representative.

Level 3 – PBIS GOLD AWARD:

The Gold award recognizes schools that have successfully implemented school-wide PBIS and can provide a minimum of three years of annual trend data for office discipline referrals and out-of-school suspensions. Since the application must be submitted during the school year, and annual trend data is not available until after the year has ended, schools are required to provide monthly behavioral trend data to demonstrate current year positive effects. A chart is provided to compare a portion of the current school year through a month_ to_ month comparison, (August 2016 through January 2017 compared to August 2017 through January 2018).

Schools applying for Gold recognition must submit data points on time and complete Attachment C including a final narrative to describe a Tier II intervention being implemented.

Please note that MSDE may identify climate measures that may or may not impact recognition requirements for 2018-2019.

Contact Information			
Date of Application:		Local School System:	
LSS PBIS Point of Contact's or Coordinator's Name:		School Name and School Website:	
Principal's Name:		Principal's Phone/Email:	
School PBIS Contact's Name:		School PBIS Contact's Phone/Email:	
Baseline Year School Trained as a new PBIS Team:		Are you willing to present at the 2017 Regional Returning Team training?	

LSS: Your District Name Here School Name: Your School Name Here

PBIS Recognition Data Criteria and Timeline

The **PBIS Maryland Data Points** are submitted by the Local School System PBIS Points of Contact or Coordinators to PBIS Maryland. These data points are applied as a last step in the application review process. Data must be complete and submitted on time to be considered for any recognition status.

PBIS Maryland Data Points		Criteria	Timeline
Implementation Phase Inventory	IPI		November 10 th & April 10 th
School-wide Evaluation Tool	SET	Bronze ≥ 80 Silver ≥ 85 Gold ≥ 90	June 1st
Tiered Fidelity Inventory	TFI	Baseline Score	June 1st

PBIS GOLD Recognition Data Criteria and Timeline

Recognition reviewers consider only trend data and the accompanying narratives as specified for each level of award.

Gold Recognition Trend Data Requirements	Criteria	
Positive annual trend for the three most current years and a positive monthly trend for the current Year (Aug Jan.) for:		
Office Discipline Referral (ODR)	Positive trend or a description of the contributing factors or issues unrelated to PBIS; i.e. administrative changes; student population changes AND a "data	
 Out of School Suspension (OSS) 		
Description of Tier II/Tier III practices	story" of what evidence based practice(s) is being implemented specifically targeting that negative trend .	
_		

LSS: Your District Name Here School Name: Your School Name Here

Office Discipline Referrals

Baseline Optional Year prior to implementation (or earliest year available since implementation) (i.e.2004-2005, total for school year)	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Check One	ODR Multi-Year Percentage Trend Comparison			
	YES, demonstrates a positive trend comparing a minimum of the three most current years of data. **A positive trend is defined by a decrease in office referrals. NO NARRATIVE.			
	NO, does NOT demonstrate a positive trend comparing a minimum of the three most current years. NARRATIVE REQUIRED. (Baseline and/or a year prior may be used to support narrative description by providing a three-year trend.)			

ODR NARRATIVE (if required)

Narrative: (Limit 150 words) If above data does not demonstrate positive trends** use this box to provide a description of:

- O The contributing factors or issues unrelated to PBIS, [i.e. administrative changes; student population changes] AND
- O How the school is addressing the factors/issues For example In response to the increase in office referrals, Do-Good Elementary School is implementing CICO in September 2016 with a goal of reducing ODRs by 10% each month.

Text will wrap.

ODR Month to Month Comparison For Year Current and Year Previous

(School may submit multi-year, month to month, SWIS graph as an alternative to this matrix)

Month	2016/2017	2017/2018
August		
September		
October		
November		
December		
January	(2017)	(2018)
Totals:		

ODR NARRATIVE (if required)

Narrative: (Limit 150 words) If above data does not demonstrate positive trends** use this box to provide a description of:

- o The contributing factors or issues unrelated to PBIS, [i.e. administrative changes; student population changes] and
- o How the school is addressing the factors/issues For example In response to the increase in office referrals, Do-Good Elementary School implemented CICO in September 2016 with a goal of reducing ORDs by 10% each month. In order to establish a positive trend for the remainder of the school year, the school is implementing XXXX.

LSS: Your District Name Here		School Name	Name: Your School Name Here		
Text will wrap.					
		OUT OF SCHO	OL SUSPEN	ISION	
Year prior to implem year available since (i.e.2004-2005, total	entation (or earliest implementation)	2014-2015	2015-2016 2016-2017		
Check	Check One OSS Multi-Year Percentage Trend Comparison				Comparison
Check	One	YES, demonstrates a positive trend comparing a minimum of the three most current years of data. **A positive trend is defined by a decrease in office referrals. NO NARRATIVE.			
	NO, does NOT demonstrate a positive trend comparing a minimum of the three most current years. NARRATIVE REQUIRED. (Baseline and/or a year prior may be used to support narrative description by providing a three-year trend.)				
OSS NARRATIVE (if required)					
		ove data does not demonstrate positive to ated to PBIS, [i.e. administrative change			of:
O How the school is a	ddressing the factors	vissues - For example - In response to the ember 2016 with a goal of reducing OSS	ne increase in out-of-scho	-	Good Elementary School is
Text will wrap.					
OSS Month-to-Month Comparison For Year Current and Year Previous					
Month	ı	2016/2017 2017/2018			2017/2018
August					
September					
October November					
December					
January	(201	17)	(2	018)	

OSS NARRATIVE (if required)

Narrative: (Limit 150 words) If above data does **not** demonstrate positive trends** use this box to provide a description of:

Totals:

o The contributing factors or issues unrelated to PBIS, [i.e. administrative changes; student population changes] **and** o How the school is addressing the factors/issues - For example - In response to the increase in office referrals, Do-Good Elementary School implemented Check and Connect in September 2016 with a goal of reducing OSSs by 10% each month. In order to establish a positive trend for the remainder of the school year, the school is implementing XXXX.

LSS:	Your District Name Here	School Name:	Your School Name Here
Text will wrap.			
Provide a desc small group in • one (1 • the nu • the dat • the dat	cription of Tier II intervention that the terventions, etc.). Please describe the following in the intervention, in the intervention, in the intervention in the inter	t is in place at your so lowing: ion, vention,	hool (e.g., Check In/Check Out,
Use "b Examp and sto Check	limit to 500 words or less. bullet" format where possible ble: Students in Check In/Check Out are students with 2-5 office referrals are invited In/Check Out and student performance of progress. Students who meet their daily ogram.	to be in the program. n Daily Progress Repo	There are currently 15 students in orts and office referrals are used to
Text will wrap.			

Please check the box

 \square The principal has reviewed and approved this application for submission