

MPIA 2020-266 Texts Mallo

JM Text 1

Name	Address	Time	Message
			<p>Good Morning! I'm writing to ask for your help to keep our community together. Our River Hill community has collected signatures for a petition and sent numerous emails to request keeping all Pointers Run neighborhoods together at the high school level. Please keep polygon 128 together with the rest of the Village of River Hill.</p> <p>Your original plan intended to move polygons 64, 128, 129, 190, 1064, 1128, 1129 and 1190 from Atholton to River Hill High School. Dr, Wu's original plan only proposed moving 118, 190 and 1190 from Atholton to River Hill High School. Either way our community stays</p>
		11/13/2019 7:30	together. Thank you! Morey

MPIA 2020-266 Texts Mallo

JM Text 2

Name	Address	Time	Message
			<p>Thank you for listening to the concerns of the residents of Polygon 128 and for recognizing that we are an inextricable part of Columbia's Village of River Hill (comprised of polygons 1190, 190, 64, 1064, 129, 1129 and 128). We are a caring, active, and cohesive community whose residents moved here because of the ideals that Columbia espoused.</p> <p>I know the decisions you make are difficult ones, and so I immensely appreciate you recognizing the importance of keeping the Villages of Columbia together as much as possible. It is our community's goal to always be part of the solution to a problem while at the same time keeping our community intact. Please, in whatever solutions you may consider, keep polygon 128 as part of the larger Village of River Hill. We continue to extend our offer to meet with you at any time, and please do not hesitate to reach out to us.</p> <p>Thank you again, Lisa Yacono</p>
		11/14/2019 10:22	

MPIA 2020-266 Texts Mallo

JM Text 3

Name	Address	Time	Message
Chad Hawthorne	[REDACTED]	11/17/2019 12:51	<p>Ms. Mallo, we wanted to reach out from Pointers United regarding our flyer regarding concerns over Swansfield capacity. According to all available information on BoardDocs, the current Office of School Planning data and spreadsheet do not include all moves the Board has approved. We think that the split of polygon 130 is unaccounted for and has led to inaccuracies in the OSP Excel spreadsheet on BoardDocs that will be used for Monday's worksession. The result is incomplete and confusing data. We are working hard and in good faith to get this right for everyone. I look forward to hearing from you. Thanks, Chad Hawthorne</p>
Chad Hawthorne	[REDACTED]	11/17/2019 12:53	<image>
Chad Hawthorne	[REDACTED]	11/17/2019 12:53	<p>Our updated statement to the community. Thank you for your hard work in this process. We sincerely appreciate all you are doing in service to the county.</p> <p>I'm sorry for the delay. I just heard your message this morning. I am unclear about your message as I did not have any posts on my Twitter feed about statistics at Swansfield.</p> <p>Thank you for your kind words about my commitment to working hard.</p>
Me	+1 443-355-7043	11/19/2019 9:32	Jennifer
Chad Hawthorne	[REDACTED]	11/19/2019 11:25	<p>Thank you for your response. In the coming weeks after things have settled down, I would appreciate the opportunity to talk with you about how we bring our neighborhood together with our new school. You know SES better than anyone. It can wait until after the holidays. Thanks again for all of your efforts. You have done so much for this county during this challenging process. Thanks. -Chad Hawthorne</p> <p>Yes, please reach out to me after the new year and I will do everything in my power to facilitate building new relationships. I greatly appreciate your civility and efforts to build new bridges and move forward.</p> <p>Regards</p>
Me	+1 443-355-7043	11/19/2019 11:39	Jen

Rushed Process Has Resulted in Incomplete Data and Unknown Outcome

According to all available information on BoardDocs, the current Office of School Planning data and spreadsheet do not include all moves the Board has approved. The result is incomplete and confusing data.

There are two documents that list the polygons that have been discussed to move. The "Summary of Board Polygon Reassignment Discussions" indicates that Polygon 130 was split and a portion moved from Clemens Crossing to Swansfield as a result of a Board vote. However, the "Polygons Reassigned – BOE Plan WS8" does not include any move from Polygon 130.

As a result, the Office of School Planning Excel Sheet titled "Comparison Worksession VIII 11 15 2019 with FARM" does not account for additional students from Polygon 130 being moved from Clemens Crossing to Swansfield. This spreadsheet is reporting inaccurate capacity calculations for both Swansfield and Clemens Crossing.

If polygon 130 is correctly accounted for, consistent with the Board's vote, the Swansfield capacity utilization would be approximately 106% in 2020-21. By 2022-23 that capacity utilization would rise to 115%. This calculation assumes approximately 66 students in the Polygon 130 townhouses would be reassigned to Swansfield in accordance with the Board's vote. Similarly, the calculations for Clemens Crossing are also incorrect. If 66 students from Polygon 130 are reassigned from Clemens Crossing, the capacity utilization at Clemens Crossing is 115% in 2020-21 and would rise to 123% by 2022-23.

Pointers United is working diligently to understand the complicated moves being made. The rushed decision making is causing confusion and mistakes in the data.

We encourage the Board of Education to consider Vicky Cutroneo's formal request to "add more work sessions and/or extend the timeline."